

12.4.2019

A8-0193/ 001-155

AMENDMENTS 001-155

by the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

Report

Daniel Dalton

A8-0193/2019

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online

Proposal for a regulation (COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on **preventing** the dissemination of terrorist
content online

on **tackling** the dissemination of terrorist
content online

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) This Regulation aims at ensuring the smooth functioning of the digital single market in an open and democratic society, by **preventing** the misuse of hosting services for terrorist purposes. The functioning of the digital single market should be improved by reinforcing legal certainty for hosting service providers,

(1) This Regulation aims at ensuring the smooth functioning of the digital single market in an open and democratic society, by **tackling** the misuse of hosting services for terrorist purposes **and contributing to public security in European societies**. The functioning of the digital single market should be improved by reinforcing legal

reinforcing users' trust in the online environment, and by strengthening safeguards to the freedom of expression *and* information.

certainty for hosting service providers, reinforcing users' trust in the online environment, and by strengthening safeguards to the freedom of expression, *the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society and the freedom and pluralism of the media.*

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Regulation of hosting service providers can only complement Member States' strategies to address terrorism, which must emphasise offline measures such as investment in social work, de-radicalisation initiatives and engagement with affected communities to achieve a sustainable prevention of radicalisation in society.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1b) Terrorist content is part of a broader problem of illegal content online, which includes other forms of content such as child sexual exploitation, illegal commercial practises and breaches of intellectual property. Trafficking in illegal content is often undertaken by terrorist and other criminal organisations to launder and raise seed money to finance their operations. This problem requires a combination of legislative, non-legislative and voluntary measures based on collaboration between authorities and providers, in the full respect for

fundamental rights. Though the threat of illegal content has been mitigated by successful initiatives such as the industry-led Code of Conduct on countering illegal hate speech online and the WEePROTECT Global Alliance to end child sexual abuse online, it is necessary to establish a legislative framework for cross-border cooperation between national regulatory authorities to take down illegal content.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Hosting service providers active on the internet play an essential role in the digital economy by connecting business and citizens and by facilitating public debate and the distribution and receipt of information, opinions and ideas, contributing significantly to innovation, economic growth and job creation in the Union. However, their services are in certain cases abused by third parties to carry out illegal activities online. Of particular concern is the misuse of hosting service providers by terrorist groups and their supporters to disseminate terrorist content online in order to spread their message, to radicalise and recruit and to facilitate and direct terrorist activity.

Amendment

(2) Hosting service providers active on the internet play an essential role in the digital economy by connecting business and citizens, ***providing learning opportunities*** and by facilitating public debate and the distribution and receipt of information, opinions and ideas, contributing significantly to innovation, economic growth and job creation in the Union. However, their services are in certain cases abused by third parties to carry out illegal activities online. Of particular concern is the misuse of hosting service providers by terrorist groups and their supporters to disseminate terrorist content online in order to spread their message, to radicalise and recruit and to facilitate and direct terrorist activity.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The presence of terrorist content online has serious negative consequences

Amendment

(3) ***While not the only factor***, the presence of terrorist content online ***has***

for users, for citizens and society at large as well as for the online service providers hosting such content, since it undermines the trust of their users and damages their business models. In light of their central role and the technological means and capabilities associated with the services they provide, online service providers have particular societal responsibilities to protect their services from misuse by terrorists and to help tackle terrorist content disseminated through their services.

proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals who have committed terrorist acts, and therefore has serious negative consequences for users, for citizens and society at large as well as for the online service providers hosting such content, since it undermines the trust of their users and damages their business models. In light of their central role and ***proportionate to*** the technological means and capabilities associated with the services they provide, online service providers have particular societal responsibilities to protect their services from misuse by terrorists and to help ***competent authorities to*** tackle terrorist content disseminated through their services, ***whilst taking into account the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society.***

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Efforts at Union level to counter terrorist content online commenced in 2015 through a framework of voluntary cooperation between Member States and hosting service providers need to be complemented by a clear legislative framework in order to further reduce accessibility to terrorist content online and adequately address a rapidly evolving problem. This legislative framework seeks to build on voluntary efforts, which were reinforced by the Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/334⁷ and responds to calls made by the European Parliament to strengthen measures to tackle illegal and harmful content and by the European Council to improve the ***automatic*** detection and removal of

Amendment

(4) Efforts at Union level to counter terrorist content online commenced in 2015 through a framework of voluntary cooperation between Member States and hosting service providers need to be complemented by a clear legislative framework in order to further reduce accessibility to terrorist content online and adequately address a rapidly evolving problem. This legislative framework seeks to build on voluntary efforts, which were reinforced by the Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/334⁷ and responds to calls made by the European Parliament to strengthen measures to tackle illegal and harmful content ***in line with the horizontal framework established by Directive 2000/31/EC*** and by the European

content that incites to terrorist acts.

Council to improve the detection and removal of content that incites to terrorist acts.

⁷ Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/334 of 1 March 2018 on measures to effectively tackle illegal content online (OJ L 63, 6.3.2018, p. 50).

⁷ Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/334 of 1 March 2018 on measures to effectively tackle illegal content online (OJ L 63, 6.3.2018, p. 50).

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The application of this Regulation should not affect the application of **Article 14** of Directive 2000/31/EC⁸. ***In particular, any measures taken by the hosting service provider in compliance with this Regulation, including any proactive measures, should not in themselves lead to that service provider losing the benefit of the liability exemption provided for in that provision.*** This Regulation leaves unaffected the powers of national authorities and courts to establish liability of hosting service providers in specific cases where the conditions under **Article 14 of** Directive 2000/31/EC for liability exemption are not met.

⁸ Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce') (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1).

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Amendment

(5) The application of this Regulation should not affect the application of Directive 2000/31/EC⁸. This Regulation leaves unaffected the powers of national authorities and courts to establish liability of hosting service providers in specific cases where the conditions under Directive 2000/31/EC for liability exemption are not met.

^{2a} Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce') (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1).

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Rules to **prevent** the misuse of hosting services for the dissemination of terrorist content online in order to guarantee the smooth functioning of the internal market are set out in this Regulation **in full respect of** the fundamental rights protected in the Union's legal order and notably those guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

Amendment

(6) Rules to **tackle** the misuse of hosting services for the dissemination of terrorist content online in order to guarantee the smooth functioning of the internal market are set out in this Regulation **and should fully** respect the fundamental rights protected in the Union's legal order and notably those guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) This Regulation **contributes** to the protection of public security **while establishing** appropriate and robust safeguards to ensure protection of the fundamental rights at stake. This includes the rights to respect for private life and to the protection of personal data, the right to effective judicial protection, the right to freedom of expression, including the freedom to receive and impart information, the freedom to conduct a business, and the principle of non-discrimination. Competent authorities and hosting service providers should only adopt measures which are necessary, appropriate and proportionate within a democratic society, taking into account the particular importance accorded to the freedom of expression **and** information, which constitutes **one of** the essential foundations of a pluralist, democratic society, and **is one of** the values on which the Union is founded. Measures **constituting** interference in the freedom of expression and information should **be strictly targeted, in the sense that they must** serve to **prevent** the dissemination of terrorist content, but

Amendment

(7) This Regulation **seeks to** contribute to the protection of public security **and should establish** appropriate and robust safeguards to ensure protection of the fundamental rights at stake. This includes the rights to respect for private life and to the protection of personal data, the right to effective judicial protection, the right to freedom of expression, including the freedom to receive and impart information, the freedom to conduct a business, and the principle of non-discrimination. Competent authorities and hosting service providers should only adopt measures which are necessary, appropriate and proportionate within a democratic society, taking into account the particular importance accorded to the freedom of expression, **the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas, the rights to respect for private and family life and the protection of personal data** which constitutes the essential foundations of a pluralist, democratic society, and **are** the values on which the Union is founded. **Any** measures **should avoid** interference in the freedom of expression and information **and insofar as**

without thereby affecting the right to lawfully receive and impart information, taking into account the central role of hosting service providers in facilitating public debate and the distribution and receipt of facts, opinions and ideas in accordance with the law.

possible should serve to *tackle* the dissemination of terrorist content *through a strictly targeted approach*, but without thereby affecting the right to lawfully receive and impart information, taking into account the central role of hosting service providers in facilitating public debate and the distribution and receipt of facts, opinions and ideas in accordance with the law. *Effective online counterterrorism measures and the protection of freedom of expression are not conflicting, but complementary and mutually reinforcing goals.*

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The right to an effective remedy is enshrined in Article 19 TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Each natural or legal person has the right to an effective judicial remedy before the competent national court against any of the measures taken pursuant to this Regulation, which can adversely affect the rights of that person. The right includes, in particular the possibility for hosting service providers and content providers to effectively contest the removal orders before the court of the Member State whose authorities issued the removal order.

Amendment

(8) The right to an effective remedy is enshrined in Article 19 TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Each natural or legal person has the right to an effective judicial remedy before the competent national court against any of the measures taken pursuant to this Regulation, which can adversely affect the rights of that person. The right includes, in particular the possibility for hosting service providers and content providers to effectively contest the removal orders before the court of the Member State whose authorities issued the removal order *and the possibilities for content providers to contest the specific measures taken by the hosting provider.*

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) In order to provide clarity about the actions that both hosting service providers and competent authorities should take to **prevent** the dissemination of terrorist content online, this Regulation should establish a definition of terrorist content for preventative purposes drawing on the definition of terrorist offences under Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹. Given the need to **address** the most harmful terrorist **propaganda** online, the definition should capture material **and information** that incites, **encourages** or **advocates** the commission or contribution **to** terrorist offences, **provides instructions for the commission of such offences** or promotes the participation in activities of a terrorist group. Such information includes in particular text, images, sound recordings and videos. When assessing whether content constitutes terrorist content within the meaning of this Regulation, competent authorities as well as hosting service providers should take into account factors such as the nature and wording of the statements, the context in which the statements were made and their potential to lead to harmful consequences, thereby affecting the security and safety of persons. The fact that the material was produced by, is attributable to or disseminated on behalf of an EU-listed terrorist organisation or person constitutes an important factor in the assessment. Content disseminated for educational, **journalistic** or research purposes should be adequately protected. Furthermore, the expression of radical, polemic or controversial views in the public debate on sensitive political questions should not be considered terrorist content.

(9) In order to provide clarity about the actions that both hosting service providers and competent authorities should take to **tackle** the dissemination of terrorist content online, this Regulation should establish a definition of terrorist content for preventative purposes drawing on the definition of terrorist offences under Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹. Given the need to **tackle** the most harmful terrorist **content** online, the definition should capture material that incites or **solicits** the commission or contribution **of** terrorist offences, or promotes the participation in activities of a terrorist group **thereby causing danger that one or more such offences may be committed intentionally. The definition should also cover content that provides guidance for the making and the use of explosives, firearms, any other weapons, noxious or hazardous substances as well as Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) substances and any guidance on other methods and techniques, including the selection of targets, for the purpose of committing terrorist offences.** Such information includes in particular text, images, sound recordings and videos. When assessing whether content constitutes terrorist content within the meaning of this Regulation, competent authorities as well as hosting service providers should take into account factors such as the nature and wording of the statements, the context in which the statements were made and their potential to lead to harmful consequences, thereby affecting the security and safety of persons. The fact that the material was produced by, is attributable to or disseminated on behalf of an EU-listed terrorist organisation or person constitutes an important factor in the assessment. Content disseminated for educational, journalistic or research purposes **or for awareness-raising purposes against terrorist activity** should be adequately protected. **Especially in**

cases where the content provider holds an editorial responsibility, any decision as to the removal of the disseminated material should take into account the journalistic standards established by press or media regulation consistent with the law of the Union and the Charter of Fundamental Rights. Furthermore, the expression of radical, polemic or controversial views in the public debate on sensitive political questions should not be considered terrorist content.

⁹ Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on combating terrorism and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/475/JHA and amending Council Decision 2005/671/JHA (OJ L 88, 31.3.2017, p. 6).

⁹ Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on combating terrorism and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/475/JHA and amending Council Decision 2005/671/JHA (OJ L 88, 31.3.2017, p. 6).

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) In order to cover those online hosting services where terrorist content is disseminated, this Regulation should apply to information society services which store information provided by a recipient of the service at his or her request and in making the information stored available to **third parties**, irrespective of whether this activity is of a mere technical, automatic and passive nature. By way of example such providers of information society services include social media platforms, video streaming services, video, image and audio sharing services, file sharing and other cloud services to the extent they make the information available to **third parties** and websites where users can make comments or post reviews. The Regulation should also apply to hosting service providers established outside the Union but offering

Amendment

(10) In order to cover those online hosting services where terrorist content is disseminated, this Regulation should apply to information society services which store information provided by a recipient of the service at his or her request and in making the information stored available to **the public**, irrespective of whether this activity is of a mere technical, automatic and passive nature. By way of example such providers of information society services include social media platforms, video streaming services, video, image and audio sharing services, file sharing and other cloud services to the extent they make the information available to **the public** and websites where users can make comments or post reviews. The Regulation should also apply to hosting service providers established outside the Union but offering

services within the Union, since a significant proportion of hosting service providers exposed to terrorist content on their services are established in third countries. This should ensure that all companies operating in the Digital Single Market comply with the same requirements, irrespective of their country of establishment. The determination as to whether a service provider offers services in the Union requires an assessment whether the service provider enables legal or natural persons in one or more Member States to use its services. However, the mere accessibility of a service provider's website or of an email address and of other contact details in one or more Member States taken in isolation should not be a sufficient condition for the application of this Regulation.

services within the Union, since a significant proportion of hosting service providers exposed to terrorist content on their services are established in third countries. This should ensure that all companies operating in the Digital Single Market comply with the same requirements, irrespective of their country of establishment. The determination as to whether a service provider offers services in the Union requires an assessment whether the service provider enables legal or natural persons in one or more Member States to use its services. However, the mere accessibility of a service provider's website or of an email address and of other contact details in one or more Member States taken in isolation should not be a sufficient condition for the application of this Regulation. ***It should not apply to cloud services, including business-to-business cloud services, with respect to which the service provider has no contractual rights concerning what content is stored or how it is processed or made publicly available by its customers or by the end-users of such customers, and where the service provider has no technical capability to remove specific content stored by their customers or the end-users of their services.***

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) A substantial connection to the Union should be relevant to determine the scope of this Regulation. Such a substantial connection to the Union should be considered to exist where the service provider has an establishment in the Union or, in its absence, on the basis of the existence of a significant number of users in one or more Member States, or the targeting of activities towards one or more

Amendment

(11) A substantial connection to the Union should be relevant to determine the scope of this Regulation. Such a substantial connection to the Union should be considered to exist where the service provider has an establishment in the Union or, in its absence, on the basis of the existence of a significant number of users in one or more Member States, or the targeting of activities towards one or more

Member States. The targeting of activities towards one or more Member States can be determined on the basis of all relevant circumstances, including factors such as the use of a language or a currency generally used in that Member State, *or the possibility of ordering goods or services*. The targeting of activities towards a Member State could also be derived from the availability of an application in the relevant national application store, from providing local advertising or advertising in the language used in that Member State, or from the handling of customer relations such as by providing customer service in the language generally used in that Member State. A substantial connection should also be assumed where a service provider directs its activities towards one or more Member State as set out in Article 17(1)(c) of Regulation 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰. On the other hand, provision of the service in view of mere compliance with the prohibition to discriminate laid down in Regulation (EU) 2018/302 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹ cannot, on that ground alone, be considered as directing or targeting activities towards a given territory within the Union.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ L 351, 20.12.2012, p. 1).

¹¹ Regulation (EU) 2018/302 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 February 2018 on addressing unjustified geo-blocking and other forms of discrimination based on customers' nationality, place of residence or place of establishment within the internal market and amending Regulations (EC) No 2006/2004 and (EU) 2017/2394 and Directive 2009/22/EC (OJ L 601, 2.3.2018, p. 1).

Member States. The targeting of activities towards one or more Member States can be determined on the basis of all relevant circumstances, including factors such as the use of a language or a currency generally used in that Member State. The targeting of activities towards a Member State could also be derived from the availability of an application in the relevant national application store, from providing local advertising or advertising in the language used in that Member State, or from the handling of customer relations such as by providing customer service in the language generally used in that Member State. A substantial connection should also be assumed where a service provider directs its activities towards one or more Member State as set out in Article 17(1)(c) of Regulation 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰. On the other hand, provision of the service in view of mere compliance with the prohibition to discriminate laid down in Regulation (EU) 2018/302 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹ cannot, on that ground alone, be considered as directing or targeting activities towards a given territory within the Union.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ L 351, 20.12.2012, p. 1).

¹¹ Regulation (EU) 2018/302 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 February 2018 on addressing unjustified geo-blocking and other forms of discrimination based on customers' nationality, place of residence or place of establishment within the internal market and amending Regulations (EC) No 2006/2004 and (EU) 2017/2394 and Directive 2009/22/EC (OJ L 601, 2.3.2018, p. 1).

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) Hosting service providers should apply certain duties of care, in order to **prevent** the dissemination of terrorist content on their services. These duties of care should not amount to a general **monitoring** obligation. Duties of care should include that, when applying this Regulation, hosting services providers act in a diligent, proportionate and non-discriminatory manner in respect of content that they store, in particular when implementing their own terms and conditions, with a view to avoiding removal of content which is not terrorist. The removal or disabling of access has to be undertaken in the observance of freedom of expression **and** information.

Amendment

(12) Hosting service providers should apply certain duties of care, in order to **tackle** the dissemination of terrorist content on their services **to the public**. These duties of care should not amount to a general **obligation on hosting service providers to monitor the information which they store, nor to a general obligation to actively seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity**. Duties of care should include that, when applying this Regulation, hosting services providers act in a **transparent, diligent, proportionate and non-discriminatory** manner in respect of content that they store, in particular when implementing their own terms and conditions, with a view to avoiding removal of content which is not terrorist. The removal or disabling of access has to be undertaken in the observance of freedom of expression, **the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society and the freedom and pluralism of the media**.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from **legal** orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent authorities allowing them

Amendment

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from **removal** orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent authorities allowing them

to designate administrative, law enforcement *or judicial authorities* with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled within one hour from receiving the removal order. ***It is for the hosting service providers to decide whether to remove the content in question or disable access to the content for users in the Union.***

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The competent authority should transmit the removal order directly to the ***addressee and point of contact*** by any electronic means capable of producing a written record under conditions that allow the service provider to establish authenticity, including the accuracy of the date and the time of sending and receipt of the order, such as by secured email and platforms or other secured channels, including those made available by the service provider, in line with the rules protecting personal data. This requirement may notably be met by the use of qualified electronic registered delivery services as provided for by Regulation (EU) 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹².

¹² Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic

to designate ***a judicial authority or a functionally independent*** administrative *or* law enforcement ***authority*** with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled within one hour from receiving the removal order.

Amendment

(14) The competent authority should transmit the removal order directly to ***the contact point of the hosting service provider and where the hosting service provider's main establishment is in another Member State, to the competent authority of that Member State*** by any electronic means capable of producing a written record under conditions that allow the service provider to establish authenticity, including the accuracy of the date and the time of sending and receipt of the order, such as by secured email and platforms or other secured channels, including those made available by the service provider, in line with the rules protecting personal data. This requirement may notably be met by the use of qualified electronic registered delivery services as provided for by Regulation (EU) 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹².

¹² Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic

transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC (OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 73).

transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC (OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 73).

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15) Referrals by the competent authorities or Europol constitute an effective and swift means of making hosting service providers aware of specific content on their services. This mechanism of alerting hosting service providers to information that may be considered terrorist content, for the provider's voluntary consideration of the compatibility its own terms and conditions, should remain available in addition to removal orders. It is important that hosting service providers assess such referrals as a matter of priority and provide swift feedback about action taken. The ultimate decision about whether or not to remove the content because it is not compatible with their terms and conditions remains with the hosting service provider. In implementing this Regulation related to referrals, Europol's mandate as laid down in Regulation (EU) 2016/794¹³ remains unaffected.

deleted

¹³ ***Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA (OJ L 135, 24.5.2016, p. 53).***

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Given the scale and speed necessary for effectively identifying and removing terrorist content, proportionate **proactive** measures, **including by using automated means in certain cases**, are an essential element in tackling terrorist content online. With a view to reducing the accessibility of terrorist content on their services, hosting service providers should assess whether it is appropriate to take **proactive** measures depending on the risks and level of exposure to terrorist content as well as to the effects on the rights of third parties and the public interest **of** information. Consequently, hosting service providers should determine what appropriate, effective and proportionate **proactive** measure should be put in place. This requirement should not imply a general monitoring obligation. In the context of this assessment, the absence of removal orders **and referrals** addressed to a hosting provider, is an indication of a low level of exposure to terrorist content.

Amendment

(16) Given the scale and speed necessary for effectively identifying and removing terrorist content, proportionate **specific** measures, are an essential element in tackling terrorist content online. With a view to reducing the accessibility of terrorist content on their services, hosting service providers should assess whether it is appropriate to take **specific** measures depending on the risks and level of exposure to terrorist content as well as to the effects on the rights of third parties and the public interest **to receive and impart information, in particular where there is a substantial level of exposure to terrorist content and receipt of removal orders**. Consequently, hosting service providers should determine what appropriate, **targeted**, effective and proportionate **specific** measure should be put in place. This requirement should not imply a general monitoring obligation. **Those specific measures may include regular reporting to the competent authorities, increase of human resources dealing with measures to protect the services against public dissemination of terrorist content, and exchange of best practices**. In the context of this assessment, the absence of removal orders addressed to a hosting provider, is an indication of a low level of exposure to terrorist content.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) When putting in place **proactive** measures, hosting service providers should

Amendment

(17) When putting in place **specific** measures, hosting service providers should

ensure that users' right to freedom of expression and **information - including to freely** receive and impart information - is preserved. In addition to any requirement laid down in the law, including the legislation on protection of personal data, hosting service providers should act with due diligence and implement safeguards, including notably human oversight and verifications, **where appropriate**, to avoid any unintended and erroneous decision leading to removal of content that is not terrorist content. ***This is of particular relevance when hosting service providers use automated means to detect terrorist content. Any decision to use automated means, whether taken by the hosting service provider itself or pursuant to a request by the competent authority, should be assessed with regard to the reliability of the underlying technology and the ensuing impact on fundamental rights.***

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to ensure that hosting service providers exposed to terrorist content take appropriate measures to prevent the misuse of their services, the competent **authorities** should request hosting service providers having received a removal **order, which has become final**, to report on the **proactive** measures taken. ***These could consist of measures to prevent the re-upload of terrorist content, removed or access to it disabled as a result of a removal order or referrals they received, checking against publicly or privately-held tools containing known terrorist content.*** They may also employ the use of reliable technical tools to identify new terrorist content, ***either using those***

ensure that users' right to freedom of expression and **freedom to** receive and impart information **and ideas in an open and democratic society** is preserved. In addition to any requirement laid down in the law, including the legislation on protection of personal data, hosting service providers should act with due diligence and implement safeguards, including notably human oversight and verifications, to avoid any unintended and erroneous decision leading to removal of content that is not terrorist content.

Amendment

(18) In order to ensure that hosting service providers exposed to terrorist content take appropriate measures to prevent the misuse of their services, the competent **authority** should request hosting service providers having received a **substantial number of final** removal **orders**, to report on the **specific** measures taken. They may also employ the use of reliable technical tools to identify new terrorist content. The service provider should report on the specific measures in place in order to allow the competent authority to judge whether the measures are **necessary**, effective and proportionate and whether, if automated means are used, the hosting service provider has the necessary abilities for

available on the market or those developed by the hosting service provider.

The service provider should report on the specific *proactive* measures in place in order to allow the competent authority to judge whether the measures are effective and proportionate and whether, if automated means are used, the hosting service provider has the necessary abilities for human oversight and verification. In assessing the effectiveness and proportionality of the measures, competent authorities should take into account relevant parameters including the number of removal orders *and referrals* issued to the provider, their economic capacity and the impact of its service in disseminating terrorist content (for example, taking into account the number of users in the Union).

human oversight and verification. In assessing the effectiveness, *necessity* and proportionality of the measures, competent authorities should take into account relevant parameters including the number of removal orders issued to the provider, their *size and* economic capacity and the impact of its service in disseminating terrorist content (for example, taking into account the number of users in the Union), *as well as the safeguards put in place to protect the freedom of expression and information and the number of incidents of restrictions on legal content.*

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) Following the request, the competent authority should enter into a dialogue with the hosting service provider about the necessary *proactive* measures to be put in place. If necessary, the competent authority should *impose* the adoption of appropriate, effective and proportionate *proactive* measures where it considers that the measures taken are insufficient to meet the risks. *A decision to impose* such specific *proactive* measures should not, *in principle*, lead to the imposition of a general obligation to monitor, as provided in Article 15(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC. *Considering the particularly grave risks associated with the dissemination of terrorist content, the decisions adopted by the competent authorities on the basis of this Regulation could derogate from the approach established in Article 15(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC, as regards certain*

Amendment

(19) Following the request, the competent authority should enter into a dialogue with the hosting service provider about the necessary *specific* measures to be put in place. If necessary, the competent authority should *request the hosting provider to re-evaluate the measures needed or request* the adoption of appropriate, effective and proportionate *specific* measures where it considers that the measures taken *do not respect the principles of necessity and proportionality or* are insufficient to meet the risks. *The competent authority should only request specific measures that the hosting service provider can reasonably be expected to implement, taking into account, among other factors, the hosting service provider's financial and other resources. A request to implement* such specific measures should not lead to the imposition of a general obligation to

specific, targeted measures, the adoption of which is necessary for overriding public security reasons. Before adopting such decisions, the competent authority should strike a fair balance between the public interest objectives and the fundamental rights involved, in particular, the freedom of expression and information and the freedom to conduct a business, and provide appropriate justification.

monitor, as provided in Article 15(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) The obligation on hosting service providers to preserve removed content and related data, should be laid down for specific purposes and limited in time to what is necessary. There is need to extend the preservation requirement to related data to the extent that any such data would otherwise be lost as a consequence of the removal of the content in question. Related data can include data such as ‘subscriber data’, **including** in particular data pertaining to the identity of the content provider as well as ‘access data’, including for instance data about the date and time of use by the content provider, or the log-in to and log-off from the service, together with the IP address allocated by the internet access service provider to the content provider.

Amendment

(20) The obligation on hosting service providers to preserve removed content and related data, should be laid down for specific purposes and limited in time to what is necessary. There is need to extend the preservation requirement to related data to the extent that any such data would otherwise be lost as a consequence of the removal of the content in question. Related data can include data such as ‘subscriber data’, in particular data pertaining to the identity of the content provider as well as ‘access data’, including for instance data about the date and time of use by the content provider, or the log-in to and log-off from the service, together with the IP address allocated by the internet access service provider to the content provider.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) The obligation to preserve the

Amendment

(21) The obligation to preserve the

content for proceedings of administrative or judicial review is necessary and justified in view of ensuring the effective measures of redress for the content provider whose content was removed or access to it disabled as well as for ensuring the reinstatement of that content as it was prior to its removal depending on the outcome of the review procedure. The obligation to preserve content for investigative and prosecutorial purposes is justified and necessary in view of the value this material could bring for the purpose of disrupting or preventing terrorist activity. Where companies remove material or disable access to it, *in particular* through their own *proactive* measures, *and do not* inform the *relevant authority because they assess that it does not fall in the scope of Article 13(4) of this Regulation*, law enforcement *may be unaware of the existence of the content*. *Therefore*, the preservation of content for purposes of prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences is also justified. For these purposes, the required preservation of data is limited to data that is likely to have a link with terrorist offences, and can therefore contribute to prosecuting terrorist offences or to preventing serious risks to public security.

content for proceedings of administrative, or judicial review *or remedy* is necessary and justified in view of ensuring the effective measures of redress for the content provider whose content was removed or access to it disabled as well as for ensuring the reinstatement of that content as it was prior to its removal depending on the outcome of the review procedure. The obligation to preserve content for investigative and prosecutorial purposes is justified and necessary in view of the value this material could bring for the purpose of disrupting or preventing terrorist activity. Where companies remove material or disable access to it, through their own *specific* measures, *they should* inform the *competent* law enforcement *authorities promptly*. The preservation of content for purposes of prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences is also justified. For these purposes, *the terrorist content and the related data should be stored only for a specific period allowing the law enforcement authorities to check the content and decide whether it would be needed for those specific purposes. This period should not exceed six months. For the purposes of prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences*, the required preservation of data is limited to data that is likely to have a link with terrorist offences, and can therefore contribute to prosecuting terrorist offences or to preventing serious risks to public security.

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) To ensure proportionality, the period of preservation should be limited to six months to allow the content providers

Amendment

(22) To ensure proportionality, the period of preservation should be limited to six months to allow the content providers

sufficient time to initiate the review process **and** to enable law enforcement access to relevant data for the investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences. However, this period may be prolonged for the period that is necessary in case the review proceedings are initiated but not finalised within the six months period upon request by the authority carrying out the review. This duration should be sufficient to allow law enforcement authorities to preserve the necessary **evidence** in relation to investigations, while ensuring the balance with the fundamental rights concerned.

sufficient time to initiate the review process **or** to enable law enforcement **authorities'** access to relevant data for the investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences. However, this period may be prolonged for the period that is necessary in case the review **or remedy** proceedings are initiated but not finalised within the six months period upon request by the authority carrying out the review. This duration should **also** be sufficient to allow law enforcement authorities to preserve the necessary **material** in relation to investigations **and prosecutions**, while ensuring the balance with the fundamental rights concerned.

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) Transparency of hosting service providers' policies in relation to terrorist content is essential to enhance their accountability towards their users and to reinforce trust of citizens in the Digital Single Market. **Hosting** service providers should publish annual transparency reports containing meaningful information about action taken in relation to the detection, identification and removal of terrorist content.

Amendment

(24) Transparency of hosting service providers' policies in relation to terrorist content is essential to enhance their accountability towards their users and to reinforce trust of citizens in the Digital Single Market. **Only hosting** service providers **which are subject to removal orders for that year** should **be obliged to** publish annual transparency reports containing meaningful information about action taken in relation to the detection, identification and removal of terrorist content.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24 a) **The authorities competent to issue removal order should also publish**

transparency reports containing information on the number of removal orders, the number of refusals, the number of identified terrorist content which led to investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences and the number of cases of content wrongly identified as terrorist.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Complaint procedures constitute a necessary safeguard against erroneous removal of content protected under the freedom of expression and information. Hosting service providers should therefore establish user-friendly complaint mechanisms and ensure that complaints are dealt with promptly and in full transparency towards the content provider. The requirement for the hosting service provider to reinstate the content where it has been removed in error, does not affect the possibility of hosting service providers to enforce their own terms and conditions on other grounds.

Amendment

(25) Complaint procedures constitute a necessary safeguard against erroneous removal of content protected under the freedom of expression and ***freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society.*** Hosting service providers should therefore establish user-friendly complaint mechanisms and ensure that complaints are dealt with promptly and in full transparency towards the content provider. The requirement for the hosting service provider to reinstate the content where it has been removed in error, does not affect the possibility of hosting service providers to enforce their own terms and conditions on other grounds.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) Effective legal protection according to Article 19 TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union requires that persons are able to ascertain the reasons upon which the content uploaded by them has been

Amendment

(26) Effective legal protection according to Article 19 TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union requires that persons are able to ascertain the reasons upon which the content uploaded by them has been

removed or access to it disabled. For that purpose, the hosting service provider should make available to the content provider meaningful information enabling the content provider to contest the decision. ***However, this does not necessarily require a notification to the content provider.*** Depending on the circumstances, hosting service providers may replace content which is considered terrorist content, with a message that it has been removed or disabled in accordance with this Regulation. ***Further information about the reasons as well as possibilities for the content provider to contest the decision should be given upon request.*** Where competent authorities decide that for reasons of public security including in the context of an investigation, it is considered inappropriate or counter-productive to directly notify the content provider of the removal or disabling of content, they should inform the hosting service provider.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) In order to avoid duplication and possible interferences with investigations, the competent authorities should inform, coordinate and cooperate with each other and where appropriate with Europol when issuing removal orders ***or sending referrals*** to hosting service providers. In implementing the provisions of this Regulation, Europol could provide support in line with its current mandate and existing legal framework.

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

removed or access to it disabled. For that purpose, the hosting service provider should make available to the content provider meaningful information ***such as the reasons for the removal or disabling of access, the legal basis for the action*** enabling the content provider to contest the decision. Depending on the circumstances, hosting service providers may replace content which is considered terrorist content, with a message that it has been removed or disabled in accordance with this Regulation. Where competent authorities decide that for reasons of public security including in the context of an investigation, it is considered inappropriate or counter-productive to directly notify the content provider of the removal or disabling of content, they should inform the hosting service provider.

Amendment

(27) In order to avoid duplication and possible interferences with investigations ***and to minimise the expenses of the affected service providers***, the competent authorities should inform, coordinate and cooperate with each other and where appropriate with Europol when issuing removal orders to hosting service providers. In implementing the provisions of this Regulation, Europol could provide support in line with its current mandate and existing legal framework.

Recital 27 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(27 a) Referrals by Europol constitute an effective and swift means of making hosting service providers aware of specific content on their services. This mechanism of alerting hosting service providers to information that may be considered terrorist content, for the provider's voluntary consideration of the compatibility with its own terms and conditions, should remain available in addition to removal orders. For that reason it is important that hosting service providers cooperate with Europol and assess Europol's referrals as a matter of priority and provide swift feedback about action taken. The ultimate decision about whether or not to remove the content because it is not compatible with their terms and conditions remains with the hosting service provider. In implementing this Regulation, Europol's mandate as laid down in Regulation (EU) 2016/794^{1a} remains unaffected.

^{1a} Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA (OJ L 135, 24.5.2016, p. 53).

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(28) In order to ensure the effective and sufficiently coherent implementation of

(28) In order to ensure the effective and sufficiently coherent implementation of

proactive measures, competent authorities in Member States should liaise with each other with regard to the discussions they have with hosting service providers as to the identification, implementation and assessment of specific *proactive* measures. *Similarly*, such cooperation is also needed in relation to the adoption of rules on penalties, as well as the implementation and the enforcement of penalties.

measures *by hosting service providers*, competent authorities in Member States should liaise with each other with regard to the discussions they have with hosting service providers as to *removal orders and* the identification, implementation and assessment of specific measures. Such cooperation is also needed in relation to the adoption of rules on penalties, as well as the implementation and the enforcement of penalties.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Recital 29

Text proposed by the Commission

(29) It is essential that the competent authority within the Member State responsible for imposing penalties is fully informed about the issuing of removal orders and *referrals and* subsequent exchanges between the hosting service provider and the relevant competent *authority*. For that purpose, Member States should ensure appropriate communication channels and mechanisms allowing the sharing of relevant information in a timely manner.

Amendment

(29) It is essential that the competent authority within the Member State responsible for imposing penalties is fully informed about the issuing of removal orders and subsequent exchanges between the hosting service provider and the relevant competent *authorities in other Member States*. For that purpose, Member States should ensure appropriate *and secure* communication channels and mechanisms allowing the sharing of relevant information in a timely manner.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) Both hosting service providers and Member States should establish points of contact to facilitate the *swift* handling of removal orders *and referrals*. In contrast to the legal representative, the point of contact serves operational purposes. The hosting service provider's point of contact should consist of any dedicated means

Amendment

(33) Both hosting service providers and Member States should establish points of contact to facilitate the *expeditious* handling of removal orders. In contrast to the legal representative, the point of contact serves operational purposes. The hosting service provider's point of contact should consist of any dedicated means

allowing for the electronic submission of removal orders and *referrals and* of technical and personal means allowing for the *swift* processing thereof. The point of contact for the hosting service provider does not have to be located in the Union and the hosting service provider is free to nominate an existing point of contact, provided that this point of contact is able to fulfil the functions provided for in this Regulation. With a view to ensure that terrorist content is removed or access to it is disabled within one hour from the receipt of a removal order, hosting service providers should ensure that the point of contact is reachable 24/7. The information on the point of contact should include information about the language in which the point of contact can be addressed. In order to facilitate the communication between the hosting service providers and the competent authorities, hosting service providers are encouraged to allow for communication in one of the official languages of the Union in which their terms and conditions are available.

allowing for the electronic submission of removal orders and of technical and personal means allowing for the *expeditious* processing thereof. The point of contact for the hosting service provider does not have to be located in the Union and the hosting service provider is free to nominate an existing point of contact, provided that this point of contact is able to fulfil the functions provided for in this Regulation. With a view to ensure that terrorist content is removed or access to it is disabled within one hour from the receipt of a removal order, hosting service providers should ensure that the point of contact is reachable 24/7. The information on the point of contact should include information about the language in which the point of contact can be addressed. In order to facilitate the communication between the hosting service providers and the competent authorities, hosting service providers are encouraged to allow for communication in one of the official languages of the Union in which their terms and conditions are available.

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) In the absence of a general requirement for service providers to ensure a physical presence within the territory of the Union, there is a need to ensure clarity under which Member State's jurisdiction the hosting service provider offering services within the Union falls. As a general rule, the hosting service provider falls under the jurisdiction of the Member State in which it has its main establishment or in which it has designated a legal representative. *Nevertheless, where another Member State issues a removal order, its authorities should be able to*

Amendment

(34) In the absence of a general requirement for service providers to ensure a physical presence within the territory of the Union, there is a need to ensure clarity under which Member State's jurisdiction the hosting service provider offering services within the Union falls. As a general rule, the hosting service provider falls under the jurisdiction of the Member State in which it has its main establishment or in which it has designated a legal representative. With regards to a hosting service provider which has no establishment in the Union and does not

enforce their orders by taking coercive measures of a non-punitive nature, such as penalty payments. With regards to a hosting service provider which has no establishment in the Union and does not designate a legal representative, any Member State should, nevertheless, be able to issue penalties, provided that the principle of ne bis in idem is respected.

designate a legal representative, any Member State should, nevertheless, be able to issue penalties, provided that the principle of ne bis in idem is respected.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Those hosting service providers which are not established in the Union, should designate in writing a legal representative in order to ensure the compliance with and enforcement of the obligations under this Regulation.

Amendment

(35) Those hosting service providers which are not established in the Union, should designate in writing a legal representative in order to ensure the compliance with and enforcement of the obligations under this Regulation. ***Hosting service providers may make use of an existing legal representative, provided that this legal representative is able to fulfil the functions as set out in this Regulation.***

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

(37) For the purposes of this Regulation, Member States should designate ***competent authorities.*** *The requirement to designate competent authorities does not necessarily require the establishment of new authorities but can be existing bodies tasked with the functions set out in this Regulation. This Regulation requires designating authorities competent for issuing removal orders, referrals and for overseeing proactive measures and for imposing penalties. It is for Member States*

Amendment

(37) For the purposes of this Regulation, Member States should designate ***a single judicial or functionally independent administrative authority.*** *This requirement does not necessitate the establishment of a new authority but can be an existing body tasked with the functions set out in this Regulation. This Regulation requires designating an authority competent for issuing removal orders, and for overseeing specific measures and for imposing penalties. Member States should*

to decide how many authorities they wish to designate for these tasks.

communicate the competent authority designated under this Regulation to the Commission, which should publish online a compilation of the competent authority of each Member State. The online registry should be easily accessible to facilitate the swift verification of the authenticity of removal orders by the hosting service providers.

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Penalties are necessary to ensure the effective implementation by hosting service providers of the obligations pursuant to this Regulation. Member States should adopt rules on penalties, including, where appropriate, fining guidelines. ***Particularly severe*** penalties ***shall*** be ascertained in the event that the hosting service ***provider*** systematically fails to ***remove terrorist content or disable access to it within one hour from receipt of a removal order. Non-compliance in individual cases could be sanctioned while respecting the principles of ne bis in idem and of proportionality and ensuring that such sanctions take account of systematic failure. In order to ensure legal certainty, the regulation should set out to what extent the relevant obligations can be subject to penalties.*** Penalties for non-compliance with Article 6 should only be adopted in relation to obligations arising from a ***request to report pursuant to Article 6(2) or a decision imposing*** additional ***proactive*** measures pursuant to Article 6(4). When determining whether or not financial penalties should be imposed, due account should be taken of the financial resources of the provider. Member States ***shall*** ensure that penalties do not encourage the removal of content

Amendment

(38) Penalties are necessary to ensure the effective implementation by hosting service providers of the obligations pursuant to this Regulation. Member States should adopt rules on penalties, including, where appropriate, fining guidelines. Penalties ***should*** be ascertained in the event that the hosting service ***providers*** systematically ***and persistently fail to comply with their*** obligations ***under this Regulation.*** Penalties for non-compliance with Article 6 should only be adopted in relation to obligations arising from a ***request for the implementation of*** additional ***specific*** measures pursuant to Article 6(4). When determining whether or not financial penalties should be imposed, due account should be taken of the financial resources of the provider. ***Moreover, the competent authority should take into account whether the hosting service provider is a start-up or a small and medium sized business and should determine on a case-by-case basis if it had the ability to adequately comply with the issued order.*** Member States ***should*** ensure that penalties do not encourage the removal of content which is not terrorist content.

which is not terrorist content.

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) Member States should collect information on the implementation of the legislation. A detailed programme for monitoring the outputs, results and impacts of this Regulation should be established in order to inform an evaluation of the legislation.

Amendment

(41) Member States should collect information on the implementation of the legislation ***including information on the number of cases of successful detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences as a consequence of this Regulation.*** A detailed programme for monitoring the outputs, results and impacts of this Regulation should be established in order to inform an evaluation of the legislation.

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 42

Text proposed by the Commission

(42) Based on the findings and conclusions in the implementation report and the outcome of the monitoring exercise, the Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation ***no sooner than three*** years after its entry into force. The evaluation should be based on the five criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU added value. It ***will*** assess the functioning of the different operational and technical measures foreseen under the Regulation, including the effectiveness of measures to enhance the detection, identification and removal of terrorist content, the effectiveness of safeguard mechanisms as well as the impacts on potentially affected rights ***and*** interests of third parties, including a review of the requirement to

Amendment

(42) Based on the findings and conclusions in the implementation report and the outcome of the monitoring exercise, the Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation ***one year*** after its entry into force. The evaluation should be based on the five criteria of efficiency, ***necessity, proportionality,*** effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU added value. It ***should*** assess the functioning of the different operational and technical measures foreseen under the Regulation, including the effectiveness of measures to enhance the detection, identification and removal of terrorist content, the effectiveness of safeguard mechanisms as well as the impacts on potentially affected ***fundamental rights, including the freedom of expression and***

inform content providers.

freedom to receive and impart information, the freedom and pluralism of the media, the freedom to conduct a business and the rights to privacy and the protection of personal data. The Commission should also assess the impact on potentially affected interests of third parties, including a review of the requirement to inform content providers.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Regulation lays down uniform rules to **prevent** the misuse of hosting services for the dissemination of terrorist content online. It lays down in particular:

Amendment

1. This Regulation lays down **targeted** uniform rules to **tackle** the misuse of hosting services for the **public** dissemination of terrorist content online. It lays down in particular:

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) rules on duties of care to be applied by hosting service providers in order to **prevent** the dissemination of terrorist content through their services and ensure, where necessary, its swift removal;

Amendment

(a) rules on **reasonable and proportionate** duties of care to be applied by hosting service providers in order to **tackle** the **public** dissemination of terrorist content through their services and ensure, where necessary, its swift removal;

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a set of measures to be put in place by Member States to identify terrorist

Amendment

(b) a set of measures to be put in place by Member States to identify terrorist

content, to enable its swift removal by hosting service providers and to facilitate cooperation with the competent authorities in other Member States, hosting service providers and where appropriate relevant Union bodies.

content, to enable its swift removal by hosting service providers ***in accordance with Union law providing suitable safeguards for freedom of expression and the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society*** and to facilitate cooperation with the competent authorities in other Member States, hosting service providers and where appropriate relevant Union bodies.

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation shall apply to hosting service providers offering services in the Union, irrespective of their place of main establishment.

Amendment

2. This Regulation shall apply to hosting service providers offering services in the Union ***to the public***, irrespective of their place of main establishment.

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. This Regulation shall not apply to content which is disseminated for educational, artistic, journalistic or research purposes, or for awareness raising purposes against terrorist activity, nor to content which represents an expression of polemic or controversial views in the course of public debate.

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 b. This Regulation shall not have the effect of modifying the obligation to respect the rights, freedoms and principles as referred to in Article 6 of the Treaty on the European Union, and shall apply without prejudice to fundamental principles in Union and national law relating to freedom of speech, freedom of the press and the freedom and pluralism of the media.

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 c. This Regulation is without prejudice to Directive 2000/31/EC.

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-1) 'information society services' means the services as referred to in point (a) of Article 2 of Directive 2000/31/EC.

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) 'hosting service provider' means a provider of information society services consisting in the storage of information provided by and at the request of the

(1) 'hosting service provider' means a provider of information society services consisting in the storage of information provided by and at the request of the

content provider and in making the information stored available to *third parties*;

content provider and in making the information stored available to *the public*. *This applies only to services provided to the public at the application layer. Cloud infrastructure providers and cloud providers are not considered hosting service providers. It does not apply either to electronic communications services as defined in Directive (EU) 2018/1972;*

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) 'content provider' means a user who has provided information that is, or that has been, stored at the request of the user by a hosting service provider;

(2) 'content provider' means a user who has provided information that is, or that has been, stored *and made available to the public* at the request of the user by a hosting service provider;

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) '*terrorist offences*' means offences as defined in Article 3(1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541;

deleted

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) 'terrorist content' means one or more of the following *information*:

(5) 'terrorist content' means one or more of the following *material*:

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) inciting ***or advocating, including by glorifying***, the commission of terrorist offences, thereby causing a danger that ***such acts*** be committed;

Amendment

(a) inciting ***the commission of one of the offences listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3(1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541, where such conduct, directly or indirectly, such as by the glorification of terrorist acts, advocates*** the commission of terrorist offences, thereby causing a danger that ***one or more such offences may*** be committed ***intentionally***;

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***encouraging the contribution to terrorist*** offences;

Amendment

(b) ***soliciting another person or group of persons to commit or contribute to the commission of one of the offences listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3(1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541, thereby causing a danger that one or more such offences may be committed intentionally***;

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) ***promoting*** the activities of a terrorist group, ***in particular by encouraging the participation in or support to a terrorist group*** within the meaning of Article 2(3) of Directive (EU) 2017/541;

Amendment

(c) ***soliciting another person or group of persons to participate in*** the activities of a terrorist group, ***including by supplying information or material resources, or by funding its activities in any way*** within the meaning of Article 4 of Directive (EU) 2017/541, ***thereby causing a danger that one or more such offences may be***

committed intentionally;

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) ***instructing on*** methods or techniques for the purpose of committing terrorist offences.

Amendment

(d) ***providing instruction on the making or use of explosives, firearms or other weapons or noxious or hazardous substances, or on other specific*** methods or techniques for the purpose of committing ***or contributing to the commission of one of the*** terrorist offences listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3(1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541;

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) depicting the commission of one or more of the offences listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541, and thereby causing a danger that one or more such offences may be committed intentionally;

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) ‘dissemination of terrorist content’ means making terrorist content available to ***third parties*** on the hosting service providers’ services;

Amendment

(6) ‘dissemination of terrorist content’ means making terrorist content available to ***the public*** on the hosting service providers’ services;

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) 'referral' means a notice by a competent authority or, where applicable, a relevant Union body to a hosting service provider about information that may be considered terrorist content, for the provider's voluntary consideration of the compatibility with its own terms and conditions aimed to prevent dissemination of terrorism content;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9 a) 'competent authority' means a single designated judicial authority or functionally independent administrative authority in the Member State.

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Hosting service providers shall **take appropriate, reasonable and proportionate actions** in accordance with this Regulation, **against the dissemination of terrorist content and** to protect users from terrorist content. **In doing so**, they shall **act** in a diligent, proportionate and non-discriminatory manner, and with due regard to the fundamental rights of the users and take into account the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression **and** information in an open and

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers shall **act** in accordance with this Regulation to protect users from terrorist content. They shall **do so** in a diligent, proportionate and non-discriminatory manner, and with due regard **in all circumstances** to the fundamental rights of the users and take into account the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression, **the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas** in an open and democratic society **and with a view to avoiding removal of content**

democratic society.

which is not terrorist.

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. These duties of care shall not amount to a general obligation on hosting service providers to monitor the information they transmit or store, nor to a general duty to actively seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall include in their terms and conditions, and apply, provisions to prevent the dissemination of terrorist content.

deleted

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Where hosting service providers obtain knowledge or awareness of terrorist content on their services, they shall inform the competent authorities of such content and remove it expeditiously.

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 b. *Hosting service providers who meet the criteria of the definition of video-sharing platforms providers under Directive (EU) 2018/1808 shall take appropriate measures to tackle the dissemination of terrorist content in accordance with Article 28b, paragraph 1(c) and paragraph 3 of Directive (EU) 2018/1808.*

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The competent authority shall have the power to issue a **decision** requiring the hosting service provider to remove terrorist content or disable access to it.

1. The competent authority **of the Member State of main establishment of the hosting service provider** shall have the power to issue a **removal order** requiring the hosting service provider to remove terrorist content or disable access to it **in all Member States**.

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. *The competent authority of a Member State where the hosting service provider does not have its main establishment or does not have a legal representative may request access to be disabled to terrorist content and enforce this request within its own territory.*

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 b. If the relevant competent authority has not previously issued a removal order to a hosting service provider it shall contact the hosting service provider, providing information on procedures and applicable deadlines, at least 12 hours before issuing a removal order.

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it within one hour from receipt of the removal order.

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***as soon as possible and*** within one hour from receipt of the removal order.

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) identification of the competent authority issuing the removal order and authentication of the removal order by the competent authority;

(a) identification of the competent authority ***via an electronic signature*** issuing the removal order and authentication of the removal order by the competent authority;

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a statement of reasons explaining why the content is considered terrorist

(b) a ***detailed*** statement of reasons explaining why the content is considered

content, **at least, by** reference to the categories of terrorist content listed in Article 2(5);

terrorist content **and a specific** reference to the categories of terrorist content listed in Article 2(5);

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **a** Uniform Resource Locator (URL) and, where necessary, additional information enabling the identification of the content referred;

Amendment

(c) **an exact** Uniform Resource Locator (URL) and, where necessary, additional information enabling the identification of the content referred;

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) information about redress available to the hosting service provider and to the content provider;

Amendment

(f) **easily understandable** information about redress available to the hosting service provider and to the content provider, **including redress with the competent authority as well as recourse to a court as well as deadlines for appeal**;

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) where **relevant**, the decision not to disclose information about the removal of terrorist content or the disabling of access to it referred to in Article 11.

Amendment

(g) where **necessary and proportionate**, the decision not to disclose information about the removal of terrorist content or the disabling of access to it referred to in Article 11.

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Upon request by the hosting service provider or by the content provider, the competent authority shall provide a detailed statement of reasons, without prejudice to the obligation of the hosting service provider to comply with the removal order within the deadline set out in paragraph 2.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The competent **authorities** shall address removal orders to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the hosting service provider pursuant to Article 16 and transmit it to the point of contact referred to in Article 14(1). Such orders shall be sent by electronic means capable of producing a written record under conditions allowing to establish the authentication of the sender, including the accuracy of the date and the time of sending and receipt of the order.

Amendment

5. The competent **authority** shall address removal orders to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the hosting service provider pursuant to Article 16 and transmit it to the point of contact referred to in Article 14(1). Such orders shall be sent by electronic means capable of producing a written record under conditions allowing to establish the authentication of the sender, including the accuracy of the date and the time of sending and receipt of the order.

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Hosting service providers shall **acknowledge receipt and**, without undue delay, inform the competent authority

Amendment

6. Hosting service providers shall inform, without undue delay the competent authority about the removal of terrorist

about the removal of terrorist content or disabling access to it, indicating, in particular, the time of action, using the template set out in Annex II.

content or disabling access to it, indicating, in particular, the time of action, using the template set out in Annex II.

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the hosting service provider cannot comply with the removal order because of force majeure or of de facto impossibility not attributable to the hosting service provider, it shall inform, without undue delay, the competent authority, explaining the reasons, using the template set out in Annex III. The deadline set out in paragraph 2 shall apply as soon as the reasons invoked are no longer present.

Amendment

7. If the hosting service provider cannot comply with the removal order because of force majeure or of de facto impossibility not attributable to the hosting service provider, **including for technical or operational reasons**, it shall inform, without undue delay, the competent authority, explaining the reasons, using the template set out in Annex III. The deadline set out in paragraph 2 shall apply as soon as the reasons invoked are no longer present.

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. ***If the*** hosting service provider ***cannot comply with*** the removal order ***because*** the removal order contains manifest errors or does not contain sufficient information ***to execute the order***, ***it*** shall inform the competent authority without undue delay, asking for the necessary clarification, using the template set out in Annex III. The deadline set out in paragraph 2 shall apply as soon as the clarification is provided.

Amendment

8. ***The*** hosting service provider ***may refuse to execute*** the removal order ***if*** the removal order contains manifest errors or does not contain sufficient information. ***It*** shall inform the competent authority without undue delay, asking for the necessary clarification, using the template set out in Annex III. The deadline set out in paragraph 2 shall apply as soon as the clarification is provided. .

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. The competent authority which issued the removal order shall inform the competent authority which oversees the implementation of **proactive** measures, referred to in Article 17(1)(c) when the removal order becomes final. A removal order becomes final where it has not been appealed within the deadline according to the applicable national law or where it has been confirmed following an appeal.

Amendment

9. The competent authority which issued the removal order shall inform the competent authority which oversees the implementation of **specific** measures, referred to in Article 17(1)(c) when the removal order becomes final. A removal order becomes final where it has not been appealed within the deadline according to the applicable national law or where it has been confirmed following an appeal.

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Consultation procedure for removal orders

1. The competent authority which issues a removal order under Article 4(1a) shall submit a copy of the removal order to the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(a) in which the main establishment of the hosting service provider is located at the same time it is transmitted to the hosting service provider in accordance with Article 4(5).

2. In cases where the competent authority of the Member State in which the main establishment of the hosting service provider is located has reasonable grounds to believe that the removal order may impact fundamental interests of that Member State, it shall inform the issuing competent authority. The issuing authority shall take these circumstances into account and shall, where necessary,

withdraw or adapt the removal order.

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 b

Cooperation procedure for issuing an additional removal order

- 1. Where a competent authority has issued a removal order under Article 4(1a), that authority may contact the competent authority of the Member State where the hosting service provider has its main establishment in order to request that the latter competent authority also issue a removal order under Article 4(1).***
- 2. The competent authority in the Member State where the main establishment of the hosting service provider is located shall either issue a removal order or refuse to issue an order as soon as possible but no later than one hour of being contacted under paragraph 1 and shall inform the competent authority that issued the first order of its decision.***
- 3. In cases where the competent authority in the Member State of main establishment needs more than one hour to make its own assessment of the content, it shall send a request to the hosting service provider concerned to disable temporarily the access to the content for up to 24 hours, during which time the competent authority shall make the assessment and send the removal order or withdraw the request to disable the access.***

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation Article 5

Article 5

deleted

Referrals

- 1. The competent authority or the relevant Union body may send a referral to a hosting service provider.**
- 2. Hosting service providers shall put in place operational and technical measures facilitating the expeditious assessment of content that has been sent by competent authorities and, where applicable, relevant Union bodies for their voluntary consideration.**
- 3. The referral shall be addressed to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider pursuant to Article 16 and transmitted to the point of contact referred to in Article 14(1). Such referrals shall be sent by electronic means.**
- 4. The referral shall contain sufficiently detailed information, including the reasons why the content is considered terrorist content, a URL and, where necessary, additional information enabling the identification of the terrorist content referred.**
- 5. The hosting service provider shall, as a matter of priority, assess the content identified in the referral against its own terms and conditions and decide whether to remove that content or to disable access to it.**
- 6. The hosting service provider shall expeditiously inform the competent authority or relevant Union body of the outcome of the assessment and the timing of any action taken as a result of the referral.**
- 7. Where the hosting service provider considers that the referral does not contain sufficient information to assess the referred content, it shall inform**

without delay the competent authorities or relevant Union body, setting out what further information or clarification is required.

Amendment 84

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Proactive measures

Specific measures

Amendment 85

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers *shall, where appropriate, take proactive* measures to protect their services against the dissemination of terrorist content. The measures shall be effective and proportionate, *taking into account* the risk and level of exposure to terrorist content, the fundamental rights of the users, and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and information in an open and democratic society.

1. *Without prejudice to Directive (EU) 2018/1808 and Directive 2000/31/EC* hosting service providers *may take specific* measures to protect their services against the *public* dissemination of terrorist content. The measures shall be effective, *targeted* and proportionate, *paying particular attention to* the risk and level of exposure to terrorist content, the fundamental rights of the users, and the fundamental importance of the *right to* freedom of expression and *the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas* in an open and democratic society.

Amendment 86

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where it has been informed according to Article 4(9), the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) shall

deleted

request the hosting service provider to submit a report, within three months after receipt of the request and thereafter at least on an annual basis, on the specific proactive measures it has taken, including by using automated tools, with a view to:

(a) preventing the re-upload of content which has previously been removed or to which access has been disabled because it is considered to be terrorist content;

(b) detecting, identifying and expeditiously removing or disabling access to terrorist content.

Such a request shall be sent to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider.

The reports shall include all relevant information allowing the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) to assess whether the proactive measures are effective and proportionate, including to evaluate the functioning of any automated tools used as well as the human oversight and verification mechanisms employed.

Amendment 87

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Where the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) considers that the proactive measures taken and reported under paragraph 2 are insufficient in mitigating and managing the risk and level of exposure, it may request the hosting service provider to take specific additional proactive measures. For that purpose, the hosting service provider shall cooperate with the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) with a view to identifying the specific measures that the hosting service provider shall put in place, establishing

deleted

key objectives and benchmarks as well as timelines for their implementation.

Amendment 88

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. *Where no agreement can be reached within the three months from the request pursuant to paragraph 3*, the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) may *issue a decision imposing specific additional* necessary *and* proportionate *proactive* measures. The decision shall take into account, in particular, the economic capacity of the hosting service provider and the effect of such measures on the fundamental rights of the users and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and information. Such a decision shall be sent to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider. The hosting service provider shall regularly report on the implementation of such measures as specified by the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c).

Amendment

4. *After establishing that a hosting service provider has received a substantial number of removal orders*, the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) may *send a request for* necessary, proportionate *and effective additional specific* measures *that the hosting service provider will have to implement. The competent authority shall not impose a general monitoring obligation, nor the use of automated tools.* The *request* shall take into account, in particular, the *technical feasibility of the measures, the size and* economic capacity of the hosting service provider and the effect of such measures on the fundamental rights of the users and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and *the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society.* Such a *request* shall be sent to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider. The hosting service provider shall regularly report on the implementation of such measures as specified by the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c).

Amendment 89

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A hosting service provider may, at

Amendment

5. A hosting service provider may, at

any time, request the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) a review and, where appropriate, to revoke a request or decision pursuant to paragraphs **2, 3, and 4 respectively**. The competent authority shall provide a reasoned decision within a reasonable period of time after receiving the request by the hosting service provider.

any time, request the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) a review and, where appropriate, to revoke a request pursuant to **paragraph 4**. The competent authority shall provide a reasoned decision within a reasonable period of time after receiving the request by the hosting service provider.

Amendment 90

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Hosting service providers shall preserve terrorist content which has been removed or disabled as a result of a removal order, **a referral** or as a result of **proactive** measures pursuant to Articles 4, 5 and 6 and related data removed as a consequence of the removal of the terrorist content and which is necessary for:

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers shall preserve terrorist content which has been removed or disabled as a result of a removal order, or as a result of **specific** measures pursuant to Articles 4 and 6 and related data removed as a consequence of the removal of the terrorist content and which is necessary for:

Amendment 91

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) proceedings of administrative **or** judicial review,

Amendment

(a) proceedings of administrative, judicial review, **or remedy**

Amendment 92

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences.

Amendment

(b) the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution **by law enforcement authorities** of terrorist offences.

Amendment 93

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The terrorist content and related data referred to in paragraph 1 shall be preserved for six months. The terrorist content shall, upon request from the competent authority or court, be preserved for a **longer** period **when** and for as long as necessary for ongoing proceedings of administrative **or** judicial review referred to in paragraph 1(a).

Amendment

2. The terrorist content and related data referred to in paragraph 1 **(a)** shall be preserved for six months **and deleted after this period**. The terrorist content shall, upon request from the competent authority or court, be preserved for a **further specified** period **only if**, and for as long as necessary for ongoing proceedings of administrative, judicial review **or remedies** referred to in paragraph 1(a). **Hosting service providers shall preserve the terrorist content and related data referred to in paragraph 1(b) until the law enforcement authority reacts to the notification made by the hosting service provider in accordance with Article 13(4) but no later than six months.**

Amendment 94

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Transparency obligations

Amendment

Transparency obligations **for hosting service providers**

Amendment 95

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Hosting service providers shall set out in their terms and conditions their policy to prevent the dissemination of terrorist content, including, where **appropriate**, a meaningful explanation of the functioning of **proactive** measures

Amendment

1. **Where applicable**, hosting service providers shall set out **clearly** in their terms and conditions their policy to prevent the dissemination of terrorist content, including, where **applicable**, a meaningful explanation of the functioning of **specific**

including the use of automated tools.

measures.

Amendment 96

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Hosting service providers shall ***publish*** annual transparency reports on action taken against the dissemination of terrorist content.

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers ***which are or have been subject to removal orders in that year, shall make publicly available*** annual transparency reports on action taken against the dissemination of terrorist content.

Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) information about the hosting service provider's measures to prevent the re-upload of content which has previously been removed or to which access has been disabled because it is considered to be terrorist content;

Amendment

(b) information about the hosting service provider's measures to prevent the re-upload of content which has previously been removed or to which access has been disabled because it is considered to be terrorist content, ***in particular where automated technology has been used;***

Amendment 98

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) number of pieces of terrorist content removed or to which access has been disabled, following removal orders, ***referrals, or proactive*** measures, respectively;

Amendment

(c) number of pieces of terrorist content removed or to which access has been disabled, following removal orders, ***or specific*** measures, respectively, ***and the number of orders where the content has not been removed in accordance with Article 4(7) and (8) together with reasons for refusal.***

Amendment 99

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 3 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) *overview* and outcome of complaint procedures.

Amendment

(d) ***number*** and outcome of complaint procedures ***and actions for judicial review, including the number of cases in which it was established that content was wrongly identified as terrorist content.***

Amendment 100

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 8 a

Transparency obligations for competent authorities

1. Competent authorities shall publish annual transparency reports that shall include at least the following information:

(a) number of removal orders issued, the number of removals and the number of refused or ignored removal orders;

(b) number of identified terrorist content which led to investigation and prosecution and the number of cases of content wrongly identified as terrorist;

(c) a description of measures requested by the competent authorities pursuant to Article 6 (4).

Amendment 101

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Safeguards regarding the use and implementation of **proactive** measures

Amendment

Safeguards regarding the use and implementation of **specific** measures

Amendment 102

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where hosting service providers use automated tools **pursuant to this Regulation** in respect of content that they store, they shall provide effective and appropriate safeguards to ensure that decisions taken concerning that content, in particular decisions to remove or disable content considered to be terrorist content, are accurate and well-founded.

Amendment

1. Where hosting service providers use automated tools in respect of content that they store, they shall provide effective and appropriate safeguards to ensure that decisions taken concerning that content, in particular decisions to remove or disable **access to** content considered to be terrorist content, are accurate and well-founded.

Amendment 103

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Safeguards shall consist, in particular, of human oversight and verifications **where appropriate and, in any event, where a detailed assessment of the relevant context is required in order to determine whether or not the content is to be considered terrorist content.**

Amendment

2. Safeguards shall consist, in particular, of human oversight and verifications, **of the appropriateness of the decision to remove or deny access to content, in particular with regard to the right to freedom of expression and freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society.**

Amendment 104

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 9 a

Effective remedies

1. Content providers, whose content has been removed or access to which has been disabled following a removal order, and hosting service providers that have received a removal order, shall have a right to an effective remedy. Member States shall put in place effective procedures for exercising this right.

Amendment 105

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers shall establish effective and accessible mechanisms allowing content providers whose content has been removed or access to it disabled as a result of **a referral pursuant to Article 5 or of proactive** measures pursuant to Article 6, to submit a complaint against the action of the hosting service provider requesting reinstatement of the content.

1. Hosting service providers shall establish **an** effective and accessible mechanism allowing content providers whose content has been removed or access to it disabled as a result of **specific** measures pursuant to Article 6, to submit a complaint against the action of the hosting service provider requesting reinstatement of the content.

Amendment 106

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall promptly examine every complaint that they receive and reinstate the content without undue delay where the removal or disabling of access was unjustified. They shall inform the complainant about the outcome of the examination.

2. Hosting service providers shall promptly examine every complaint that they receive and reinstate the content without undue delay where the removal or disabling of access was unjustified. They shall inform the complainant about the outcome of the examination **within two weeks of the receipt of the complaint with**

an explanation in cases where the hosting service provider decides not to reinstate the content. A reinstatement of content shall not preclude further judicial measures against the decision of the hosting service provider or of the competent authority.

Amendment 107

Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where hosting service providers **removed** terrorist content or disable access to it, they shall make available to the content provider information on the removal or disabling of access to terrorist content.

Amendment

1. Where hosting service providers **remove** terrorist content or disable access to it, they shall make available to the content provider **comprehensive and concise** information on the removal or disabling of access to terrorist content **and the possibilities to contest the decision, and shall provide him or her with a copy of the removal order issued in accordance with Article 4 upon request.**

Amendment 108

Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *Upon request of the content provider, the hosting service provider shall inform the content provider about the reasons for the removal or disabling of access and possibilities to contest the decision.*

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 109

Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The obligation pursuant to **paragraphs 1 and 2** shall not apply where the competent authority decides that there should be no disclosure for reasons of public security, such as the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of terrorist offences, for as long as necessary, but not exceeding **[four]** weeks from that decision. In such a case, the hosting service provider shall not disclose any information on the removal or disabling of access to terrorist content.

Amendment

3. The obligation pursuant to **paragraph 1** shall not apply where the competent authority decides **based on objective evidence and considering the proportionality and necessity of such decision**, that there should be no disclosure for reasons of public security, such as the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of terrorist offences, for as long as necessary, but not exceeding four weeks from that decision. In such a case, the hosting service provider shall not disclose any information on the removal or disabling of access to terrorist content.

Amendment 110

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall ensure that their competent authorities have the necessary capability and sufficient resources to achieve the aims and fulfil their obligations under this Regulation.

Amendment

Member States shall ensure that their competent authorities have the necessary capability and sufficient resources to achieve the aims and fulfil their obligations under this Regulation, **with strong guarantees of independence**.

Amendment 111

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Cooperation between hosting service providers, competent authorities and where appropriate **relevant** Union bodies

Amendment

Cooperation between hosting service providers, competent authorities and where appropriate **competent** Union bodies

Amendment 112

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Competent authorities in Member States shall inform, coordinate and cooperate with each other and, where appropriate, with **relevant Union bodies such as** Europol with regard to removal orders **and referrals** to avoid duplication, enhance coordination and avoid interference with investigations in different Member States.

Amendment

1. Competent authorities in Member States shall inform, coordinate and cooperate with each other and, where appropriate, with Europol with regard to removal orders to avoid duplication, enhance coordination and avoid interference with investigations in different Member States.

Amendment 113

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Competent authorities in Member States shall inform, coordinate and cooperate with the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) and (d) with regard to measures taken pursuant to Article 6 and enforcement actions pursuant to Article 18. Member States shall make sure that the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) and (d) is in possession of all the relevant information. For that purpose, Member States shall provide for the appropriate communication channels or mechanisms to ensure that the relevant information is shared in a timely manner.

Amendment

2. Competent authorities in Member States shall inform, coordinate and cooperate with the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) and (d) with regard to measures taken pursuant to Article 6 and enforcement actions pursuant to Article 18. Member States shall make sure that the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) and (d) is in possession of all the relevant information. For that purpose, Member States shall provide for the appropriate **and secure** communication channels or mechanisms to ensure that the relevant information is shared in a timely manner.

Amendment 114

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States **and hosting service providers may choose to** make use of dedicated tools, including, **where**

Amendment

3. Member States **may** make use of dedicated tools, including those established by Europol, to facilitate in particular:

appropriate, those established by *relevant Union bodies such as* Europol, to facilitate in particular:

Amendment 115

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the processing and feedback relating to referrals pursuant to Article 5; **deleted**

Amendment 116

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) co-operation with a view to identify and implement *proactive* measures pursuant to Article 6.

(c) co-operation with a view to identify and implement *specific* measures pursuant to Article 6.

Amendment 117

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Where hosting service providers become aware of ***any evidence of*** terrorist ***offences***, they shall promptly inform authorities competent for the investigation and prosecution in criminal offences in the concerned Member State ***or*** the point of contact in the Member State pursuant to Article 14(2), where they have their main establishment or a legal representative. ***Hosting service providers may, in case of doubt***, transmit this information to Europol for appropriate follow up.

4. Where hosting service providers become aware of terrorist ***content*** they shall promptly inform authorities competent for the investigation and prosecution in criminal offences in the concerned Member State. ***Where it is impossible to identify the Member State concerned, the hosting service provider shall notify*** the point of contact in the Member State pursuant to Article 17(2), where they have their main establishment or a legal representative ***and also*** transmit this information to Europol for appropriate follow up.

Amendment 118

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. Hosting service providers shall cooperate with competent authorities.

Amendment 119

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers shall establish a point of contact allowing for the receipt of removal orders **and referrals** by electronic means and ensure their **swift** processing pursuant to Articles 4 **and 5**. They shall ensure that this information is made publicly available.

1. Hosting service providers **previously in receipt of one or more removal orders** shall establish a point of contact allowing for the receipt of removal orders by electronic means and ensure their **expeditious** processing pursuant to Articles 4. They shall ensure that this information is made publicly available.

Amendment 120

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The information referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the official language or languages (s) of the Union, as referred to in Regulation 1/58, in which the contact point can be addressed and in which further exchanges in relation to removal orders **and referrals** pursuant to **Articles 4 and 5** shall take place. This shall include at least one of the official languages of the Member State in which the hosting service provider has its main establishment or where its legal representative pursuant to Article 16

2. The information referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the official language or languages (s) of the Union, as referred to in Regulation 1/58, in which the contact point can be addressed and in which further exchanges in relation to removal orders pursuant to **Article 4** shall take place. This shall include at least one of the official languages of the Member State in which the hosting service provider has its main establishment or where its legal representative pursuant to Article 16

resides or is established.

resides or is established.

Amendment 121

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Member States shall establish a point of contact to handle requests for clarification and feedback in relation to removal orders and referrals issued by them. Information about the contact point shall be made publicly available.

deleted

Amendment 122

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Where a hosting service provider fails to designate a legal representative, all Member States shall have jurisdiction.

2. Where a hosting service provider *which does not have its main establishment within one of the Member States* fails to designate a legal representative, all Member States shall have jurisdiction. *Where a Member State decides to exercise this jurisdiction, it shall inform all other Member States.*

Amendment 123

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. *Where an authority of another Member State has issued a removal order according to Article 4(1), that Member State has jurisdiction to take coercive measures according to its national law in order to enforce the removal order.*

deleted

Amendment 124

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A hosting service provider which does not have an establishment in the Union but offers services in the Union, shall designate, in writing, a legal or natural person as its legal representative in the Union for the receipt of, compliance with and enforcement of removal orders, **referrals**, requests **and decisions** issued by the competent authorities on the basis of this Regulation. The legal representative shall reside or be established in one of the Member States where the hosting service provider offers the services.

Amendment

1. A hosting service provider which does not have an establishment in the Union but offers services in the Union, shall designate, in writing, a legal or natural person as its legal representative in the Union for the receipt of, compliance with and enforcement of removal orders and requests issued by the competent authorities on the basis of this Regulation. The legal representative shall reside or be established in one of the Member States where the hosting service provider offers the services.

Amendment 125

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The hosting service provider shall entrust the legal representative with the receipt, compliance and enforcement of the removal orders, **referrals**, requests **and decisions** referred to in paragraph 1 on behalf of the hosting service provider concerned. Hosting service providers shall provide their legal representative with the necessary powers and **resource** to cooperate with the competent authorities and comply with these decisions and orders.

Amendment

2. The hosting service provider shall entrust the legal representative with the receipt, compliance and enforcement of the removal orders and requests referred to in paragraph 1 on behalf of the hosting service provider concerned. Hosting service providers shall provide their legal representative with the necessary powers and **resources** to cooperate with the competent authorities and comply with these decisions and orders.

Amendment 126

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate *the* authority *or authorities* competent to

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate *a judicial or a functionally independent administrative* authority competent to

Amendment 127

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) detect, identify and refer terrorist content to hosting service providers pursuant to Article 5;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 128

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) oversee the implementation of *proactive* measures pursuant to Article 6;

Amendment

(c) oversee the implementation of *specific* measures pursuant to Article 6;

Amendment 129

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Member States shall designate a point of contact within the competent authorities to handle requests for clarification and feedback in relation to removal orders issued by them. Information on the contact point shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 130

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation] at the latest Member States shall notify the Commission of the competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1. The Commission shall publish the notification and any modifications of it in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Amendment

2. By [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation] at the latest Member States shall notify the Commission of the competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1. The Commission shall ***set up an online register listing all those competent authorities and the designated contact point for each competent authority. The Commission shall*** publish the notification and any modifications of it in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Amendment 131

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to breaches of the obligations by hosting service providers under this Regulation and shall take all necessary measures to ensure that they are implemented. Such penalties shall be limited to infringement of the obligations pursuant to:

Amendment

1. Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to ***systematic and persistent*** breaches of the obligations by hosting service providers under this Regulation and shall take all necessary measures to ensure that they are implemented. Such penalties shall be limited to infringement of the obligations pursuant to:

Amendment 132

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) Article 3(2) (hosting service providers' terms and conditions);

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 133

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *Article 5(5) and (6) (assessment of and feedback on referrals);* **deleted**

Amendment 134

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) Article **6(2) and (4)** (reports on **proactive** measures and the adoption of measures following a **decision** imposing specific **proactive** measures);

(d) Article **6(4)** (reports on **specific** measures and the adoption of measures following a **request** imposing **additional specific** measures);

Amendment 135

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) Article 8 (transparency);

(f) Article 8 (transparency **for hosting service providers**)

Amendment 136

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) Article 9 (safeguards **in relation to proactive** measures);

(g) Article 9 (safeguards **with regard to the implementation of specific** measures);

Amendment 137

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

(j) Article 13 (4) (information on **evidence of** terrorist **offences**);

Amendment

(j) Article 13 (4) (information on terrorist **content**);

Amendment 138

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The penalties **provided for** shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by [within six months from the entry into force of this Regulation] at the latest, notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment

2. The penalties **pursuant to paragraph 1** shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by [within six months from the entry into force of this Regulation] at the latest, notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment 139

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the level of cooperation of the hosting service provider with the competent authorities.

Amendment

(e) the level of cooperation of the hosting service provider with the competent authorities;

Amendment 140

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) the nature and size of the hosting service providers, in particular for microenterprises or small-sized enterprises within the meaning of

Amendment 141

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall ensure that a systematic failure to comply with obligations pursuant to Article 4(2) is subject to financial penalties of up to 4% of the hosting service provider's global turnover of the last business year.

Amendment

4. Member States shall ensure that a systematic ***and persistent*** failure to comply with obligations pursuant to Article 4(2) is subject to financial penalties of up to 4% of the hosting service provider's global turnover of the last business year.

Amendment 142

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Technical requirements and amendments to the templates for removal orders

Amendment

Technical requirements, ***criteria for assessing significance***, and amendments to the templates for removal orders

Amendment 143

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 20 in order to supplement this Regulation with technical requirements for the electronic means to be used by competent authorities for the transmission of removal orders.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 20 in order to supplement this Regulation with ***the necessary*** technical requirements for the electronic means to be used by competent authorities for the transmission of removal orders.

Amendment 144

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 20 in order to complement this Regulation with criteria and figures to be used by competent authorities for determining what corresponds to a significant number of uncontested removal orders as referred to in this Regulation.

Amendment 145

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) information about the number of removal orders ***and referrals*** issued, the number of pieces of terrorist content which has been removed or access to it disabled, including the corresponding timeframes pursuant to Articles 4 ***and 5***;

(a) information about the number of removal orders issued, the number of pieces of terrorist content which has been removed or access to it disabled, including the corresponding timeframes pursuant to Article 4, ***and information on the number of corresponding cases of successful detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences***;

Amendment 146

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) information about the number of access requests issued by competent authorities regarding content preserved by hosting service providers pursuant to Article 7;

Amendment 147

Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

No sooner than [three years from the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation and submit a report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the application of this Regulation including the functioning *of* the effectiveness of the safeguard mechanisms. Where appropriate, the report shall be accompanied by legislative proposals. Member States shall provide the Commission with the information necessary for the preparation of the report.

Amendment

One year from the date of application of this Regulation, the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation and submit a report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the application of this Regulation including the functioning *and* effectiveness of the safeguard mechanisms, *as well as the impact on Fundamental Rights, and in particular on freedom of expression, freedom to receive and impart information and the right to respect for one's private life. In the context of this evaluation, the Commission shall also report on the necessity, the feasibility and the effectiveness of creating a European Platform on Terrorist Content Online, which would allow all Member States to use one secure communication channel to send removal orders for terrorist content to hosting service providers.* Where appropriate, the report shall be accompanied by legislative proposals. Member States shall provide the Commission with the information necessary for the preparation of the report.

Amendment 148

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply from [6 months after its entry into force].

Amendment

It shall apply from [12 months after its entry into force].

Amendment 149

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – section B – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] incites, ***advocates or glorifies*** the commission of terrorist offences (Article 2 (5) a)

[] incites the commission of terrorist offences ***listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541*** (Article 2 (5) a);

Amendment 150

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section B – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] ***encourages the contribution to*** terrorist offences (Article 2 (5) b)

[] ***solicits another person or group of persons to commit or contribute to the commission of*** terrorist offences ***listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541*** (Article 2 (5) b);

Amendment 151

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section B – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] ***promotes*** the activities of a terrorist group, ***encouraging participation in or support of the*** group (Article 2 (5) c)

[] ***solicits another person or group of persons to participate in*** the activities of a terrorist group ***listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541*** (Article 2 (5) c);

Amendment 152

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section B – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] provides instructions or techniques for committing terrorist offences (Article 2 (5) d)

[] provides instructions ***or techniques on the making or use of explosives, firearms or other weapons or noxious or hazardous substances, or on other specific methods*** or techniques for committing terrorist

offences *listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541* (Article 2 (5) d);

Amendment 153

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section B – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] depicting the commission of offences listed in points (a) to (i) of Article 3 (1) of Directive (EU) 2017/541 (Article 2(5)e).

Amendment 154

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section G – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Information about competent body or court, deadlines and procedures for contesting the removal order:

Information about competent body or court, deadlines and procedures *including formal requirements* for contesting the removal order:

Amendment 155

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – section B – point i – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[] force majeure or de facto impossibility not attributable to the addressee or the service provider

[] force majeure or de facto impossibility not attributable to the addressee or the service provider, *including for technical or operational reasons*

Amendment 156**Eva Joly**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Report**Daniel Dalton**Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))**A8-0193/2019****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 13***Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from legal orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent authorities allowing them to designate administrative, law enforcement or judicial authorities with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled within one hour from receiving the removal order. It is for the hosting service providers to decide whether to remove the content in question or disable access to the content for users in the Union.

Amendment

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from legal orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent authorities allowing them to designate administrative, law enforcement or judicial authorities with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled ***as soon as possible and, if possible, depending on the size and economic capacity of the hosting service provider,*** within one hour from receiving the removal order. It is for the hosting service providers to decide whether to remove the content in question or disable access to the content for users in the Union.

Or. en

(Linked to the amendment on Article 4(2). Should be deemed adopted if the amendment on Article 4(2) is adopted, or should be voted together en bloc.)

12.4.2019

A8-0193/157

Amendment 157

Eva Joly

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***within one hour from*** receipt of the removal order.

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***as soon as possible after the*** receipt of the removal order.

Or. en

Amendment 158**Birgit Sippel**

on behalf of the S&D Group

Report**A8-0193/2019****Daniel Dalton**Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))**Proposal for a regulation****Recital 13***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from **legal** orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent **authorities** allowing them to designate administrative, **law enforcement or judicial authorities** with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled **within one hour from** receiving the removal order. **It is for the hosting service providers to decide whether to remove the content in question or disable access to the content for users in the Union.**

(13) The procedure and obligations resulting from **removal** orders requesting hosting service providers to remove terrorist content or disable access to it, following an assessment by the competent authorities, should be harmonised. Member States should remain free as to the choice of the competent **authority** allowing them to designate **a judicial authority or a functionally independent administrative authority** with that task. Given the speed at which terrorist content is disseminated across online services, this provision imposes obligations on hosting service providers to ensure that terrorist content identified in the removal order is removed or access to it is disabled **without undue delay upon** receiving the removal order. **Where possible, the size and economic capacity of the hosting service provider should be taken into account.**

Or. en

Amendment 159**Birgit Sippel**

on behalf of the S&D Group

Report**Daniel Dalton**Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))**A8-0193/2019****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 33***Text proposed by the Commission*

(33) Both hosting service providers and Member States should establish points of contact to facilitate the *swift* handling of removal orders *and referrals*. In contrast to the legal representative, the point of contact serves operational purposes. The hosting service provider's point of contact should consist of any dedicated means allowing for the electronic submission of removal orders and *referrals and* of technical and personal means allowing for the *swift* processing thereof. The point of contact for the hosting service provider does not have to be located in the Union and the hosting service provider is free to nominate an existing point of contact, provided that this point of contact is able to fulfil the functions provided for in this Regulation. With a view to ensure that terrorist content is removed or access to it is disabled *within one hour from* the receipt of a removal order, hosting service providers should ensure that the point of contact is reachable 24/7. The information on the point of contact should include information about the language in which the point of contact can be addressed. In order to facilitate the communication between the hosting service providers and the competent authorities, hosting service providers are encouraged to allow for communication in one of the official

Amendment

(33) Both hosting service providers and Member States should establish points of contact to facilitate the *expeditious* handling of removal orders. In contrast to the legal representative, the point of contact serves operational purposes. The hosting service provider's point of contact should consist of any dedicated means allowing for the electronic submission of removal orders and of technical and personal means allowing for the *expeditious* processing thereof. The point of contact for the hosting service provider does not have to be located in the Union and the hosting service provider is free to nominate an existing point of contact, provided that this point of contact is able to fulfil the functions provided for in this Regulation. With a view to ensure that terrorist content is removed or access to it is disabled *without undue delay upon* the receipt of a removal order, hosting service providers should ensure that the point of contact is reachable 24/7. The information on the point of contact should include information about the language in which the point of contact can be addressed. In order to facilitate the communication between the hosting service providers and the competent authorities, hosting service providers are encouraged to allow for communication in one of the official

languages of the Union in which their terms and conditions are available.

languages of the Union in which their terms and conditions are available.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/160

Amendment 160

Birgit Sippel

on behalf of the S&D Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***within one hour from*** receipt of the removal order.

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***without undue delay upon*** receipt of the removal order.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/161

Amendment 161

Birgit Sippel

on behalf of the S&D Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Under Article 4 of Regulation (EU)...¹⁶
the addressee of the removal order shall
remove terrorist content or disable access
to it, ***within one hour from*** receipt of the
removal order from the competent
authority.

¹⁶ Regulation of the European Parliament
and of the Council on preventing the
dissemination of terrorist content online
(OJ L ...).

Amendment

Under Article 4 of Regulation (EU)...¹⁶
the addressee of the removal order shall
remove terrorist content or disable access
to it, ***without undue delay upon*** receipt of
the removal order from the competent
authority.

¹⁶ Regulation of the European Parliament
and of the Council on preventing the
dissemination of terrorist content online
(OJ L ...).

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/162

Amendment 162

Birgit Sippel

on behalf of the S&D Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – section B – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

B Content to be removed or access to
it disabled *within one hour*:

B Content to be removed or access to
it disabled *without undue delay*:

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/163

Amendment 163

Cornelia Ernst, Jiří Maštálka

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) 'terrorist content' means one or more of the following ***information***:

(5) 'terrorist content' means one or more of the following ***material manifestly***:

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/164

Amendment 164

Cornelia Ernst, Jiří Maštálka

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***within one hour from receipt of*** the removal order.

Amendment

2. Hosting service providers shall remove terrorist content or disable access to it ***expeditiously. The competent authority shall set a deadline for compliance with the removal order that shall be no shorter than eight hours. When setting the deadline, the competent authority shall take due account of the size and resources of the hosting service provider, in particular that SMEs may require a longer time limit to comply with the removal order. In any event, the deadline shall be no sooner than the end of the next working day for hosting service providers that have not previously been subject to a removal order and are microenterprises as defined in the Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, including sole traders.***

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/165

Amendment 165

Jiří Maštálka

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall ensure that a systematic failure to comply with obligations pursuant to Article 4(2) is subject to financial penalties of up to 4% of the hosting service provider's global turnover of the last business year. *deleted*

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/166

Amendment 166

Auke Zijlstra

on behalf of the ENF Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Measures making it beforehand impossible to publish on the internet, such as upload filters, are in violation of Article 7.3 of the Dutch Constitution.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/167

Amendment 167

Auke Zijlstra

on behalf of the ENF Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Measures making it beforehand impossible to publish on the internet, such as upload filters, are in violation of Article 7.3 of the Dutch Constitution.

Or. en

Amendment 168**Rachida Dati**

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report**A8-0193/2019****Daniel Dalton**Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))**Proposal for a regulation****Recital 15***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

(15) Referrals by the competent authorities *or Europol* constitute an effective and swift means of **making** hosting service providers **aware of specific content on their services**. This mechanism **of alerting hosting service providers to information that may be considered terrorist content**, for the provider's voluntary consideration of the compatibility its own terms and conditions, should remain available in addition to removal orders. It is important that hosting service providers **assess** such referrals **as a matter of priority** and provide swift feedback about action taken. The ultimate decision about whether or not **to remove** the content **because it is not** compatible with their terms and conditions **remains** with the hosting service provider. **In implementing this Regulation related to referrals, Europol's mandate as laid down in Regulation (EU) 2016/794¹³ remains unaffected.**

(15) Referrals by the competent authorities constitute an effective and swift means of **alerting** hosting service providers **of the presence of potential terrorist content on their services**. This mechanism for the provider's voluntary consideration of the compatibility **of a specific piece of content with** its own terms and conditions **has proved to be a quick, efficient and effective tool in the fight against terrorist content online and** should remain available in addition to removal orders. It is important that hosting service providers **give priority to** such referrals and provide swift feedback about action taken. The ultimate decision about whether or not the content **is** compatible with their terms and conditions **and the consequential action taken, notably to remove or to keep content online, remain** with the hosting service provider. **The mere receipt of a referral should not by itself be automatically considered as actual knowledge of illegal activity or information by the hosting service provider having received the referral, since this requires a case-by-case assessment of the content referred.**

¹³ Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA (OJ L 135, 24.5.2016, p. 53).

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/169

Amendment 169

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority shall have the power to issue a **decision** requiring the hosting service provider to remove terrorist content or disable access to it.

Amendment

1. The competent authority shall have the power to issue a **removal order** requiring the hosting service provider to remove terrorist content or disable access to it **in all Member States**.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/170

Amendment 170

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Consultation procedure for removal orders

- 1. The issuing authority shall submit a copy of the removal order to the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(a) of the Member State in which the main establishment of the hosting service provider is located at the same time it is transmitted to the hosting service provider in accordance with Article 4(5).*
- 2. In cases where the competent authority of the Member State in which the main establishment of the hosting service provider is located has reasonable grounds to believe that the removal order may impact fundamental interests of that Member State, it shall inform the issuing competent authority.*
- 3. The issuing authority shall take these circumstances into account and shall, where necessary, withdraw or adapt the removal order.*

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/171

Amendment 171

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority or *the relevant Union body* may send a referral to a hosting service provider.

Amendment

1. The competent authority or *Europol* may send a referral to a hosting service provider, *when it considers that a piece of content violates the hosting service providers' terms and conditions.*

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/172

Amendment 172

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The hosting service provider shall ***expeditiously*** inform the competent authority or ***relevant Union body*** of the outcome of the assessment and the timing of any action taken as a result of the referral.

Amendment

6. The hosting service provider shall ***without undue delay*** inform the competent authority or ***Europol*** of the outcome of the assessment and the timing of any action taken as a result of the referral, ***including where no action has been taken.***

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/173

Amendment 173

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 a. Hosting service providers shall not be held liable solely for complying with the provisions of this Article.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/174

Amendment 174

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the hosting service provider considers that the referral does not contain sufficient information to assess the referred content, it shall inform without delay the competent authorities or **relevant Union body**, setting out what further information or clarification is required.

Amendment

7. Where the hosting service provider considers that the referral does not contain sufficient information to assess the referred content, it shall inform without delay the competent authorities or **Europol**, setting out what further information or clarification is required.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/175

Amendment 175

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Hosting service providers shall, where appropriate, take **proactive** measures to protect their services against the dissemination of terrorist content. The measures shall be effective and proportionate, taking into account the risk and level of exposure to terrorist content, the fundamental rights of the users, and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and information in an open and democratic society.

1. Hosting service providers **exposed to terrorist content** shall, where appropriate, take **specific** measures to protect their services against the dissemination of terrorist content. The measures shall be effective, **targeted** and proportionate, taking into account the risk and level of exposure to terrorist content, **paying particular regard to** the fundamental rights of the users, and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and **the freedom to receive and impart information and ideas** in an open and democratic society.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/176

Amendment 176

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where it has been informed according to Article 4(9), the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) **shall** request the hosting service provider to submit a report, within three months after receipt of the request and thereafter **at least on an annual basis**, on the specific **proactive** measures it has taken, **including by using automated tools, with a view to:**

Where it has been informed according to Article 4(9), the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) **may** request the hosting service provider to submit a report. **Where a request has been made, the hosting service provider shall submit its report** within three months after receipt of the request and **regularly** thereafter on the specific measures it has taken.

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/177

Amendment 177

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) preventing the re-upload of content which has previously been removed or to which access has been disabled because it is considered to be terrorist content; *deleted*

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/178

Amendment 178

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

A8-0193/2019

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) detecting, identifying and expeditiously removing or disabling access to terrorist content.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 179**Rachida Dati**

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report**Daniel Dalton**Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))**A8-0193/2019****Proposal for a regulation****Article 6 – paragraph 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

4. *Where no agreement can be reached within the three months from the request pursuant to paragraph 3*, the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) may *issue a decision imposing specific additional* necessary *and* proportionate *proactive* measures. The decision shall take into account, in particular, the economic capacity of the hosting service provider and the effect of such measures on the fundamental rights of the users and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and information. Such a *decision* shall be sent to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider. The hosting service provider shall regularly report on the implementation of such measures as specified by the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c).

Amendment

4. *After establishing that a hosting service provider has received a substantial number of removal orders*, the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c) may *send a request for* necessary, proportionate *and effective additional specific* measures *that the hosting service provider will have to implement to ensure that terrorist content is not publicly available on its services. The competent authority shall not impose a general monitoring obligation, nor the use of automated removal tools.* The decision shall take into account, in particular, *technical feasibility of the measures, the size and* the economic capacity of the hosting service provider and the effect of such measures on the fundamental rights of the users and the fundamental importance of the freedom of expression and *freedom to receive and impart information and ideas in an open and democratic society.* Such a *request* shall be sent to the main establishment of the hosting service provider or to the legal representative designated by the service provider. The hosting service provider shall regularly report on the implementation of such measures as specified by the competent authority referred to in Article 17(1)(c).

Or. en

12.4.2019

A8-0193/180

Amendment 180

Rachida Dati

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report

Daniel Dalton

Tackling the dissemination of terrorist content online
(COM(2018)0640 – C8-0405/2018 – 2018/0331(COD))

A8-0193/2019

Draft legislative resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to consider its proposal for a Regulation on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online as a basis for further action in the area of preventing and combatting child sexual abuse online, including a similar legislative act to remove Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM) online;

Or. en